

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bux 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/758,625	01/11/2001	Ralph H. Echols	2000-IP-001727	8980
20558	7590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
KONNEKER & SMITH P. C.			CECIL, TERRY K	
SUITE 230	CENTRAL EXPRESSW	AY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLANO, TX	75074		1723	
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Assistant Communication	. 09/758,625	ECHOLS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mr. Terry K. Cecil	1723	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended per	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. If days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>30 <i>July</i> 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL .	b)∐ This action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practic			
Disposition of Claims			
4)	e withdrawn from consideration. 7-97 is/are rejected.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t		• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have been received. locuments have been réceived in A f the priority documents have beer al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

The 103 rejections of the prior office action have not changed. Applicant's amendment to the claims designating the plurality of telescoped tubular members to be well screen does not further limit the structural of the claim since the telescoped tubular conduits of Gano are well screen member (i.e. members of his well screen invention).

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 7-30-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:
- Applicant has argued (page 7) that neither Gano nor Curlett discloses the perforated innermost tubular member of a plurality of tubular members as having the embedded line. This is not found to be convincing since Gano discloses the perforated innermost tubular member (of a plurality of tubular members) and Curlett teaches an embedded line in an innermost member such that upon modification the innermost member of Gano would have the embedded line. This modification is not obviated by the fact that Curlett includes a circular screen at the bottom of his casing.
- Although the embedded limitation is shown in figure 25 of Curlett, applicant's arguments
 concerning figure 19 are also not convincing, since line 112 is shown as extending laterally
 through the innermost tubular wall. Applicant's claims do not require the entire line being
 embedded in the sidewall structure; a line embedded at any portion thereof would meet the
 limitation of the claims.

Art Unit: 1723

• Applicant's arguments (page 8) that modifying Gano to have the embedded line would eliminate or lessen his desirable snapping feature for installing the lines 83 is unconvincing since modifying the lines to be embedded would provide the benefit of reducing the numbers of steps and save time during the installation process. It is also pointed out that Gano teaches the lines can also be initially sealed if desired (col. 6, lines 42-46) such that sealing the line in the channel by expanding the strip 84 is not a concern. In addition, having the lines embedded would have the benefit of not affecting the steps of modifying the flow area that are practiced by Gano.

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1723

3. Contact Information:

- Examiner Mr. Terry K. Cecil can be reached at (571) 272-1138 at the Carlisle campus in Alexandria, Virginia for any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner. Note that the examiner is on the increased flextime schedule but can normally be found in the office during the hours of 8:30a to 4:30p, on at least four days during the week M-F.
- Wanda Walker, the examiner's supervisor, can be reached at (571) 272-1151 if attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful.
- The Fax number for this art unit for official faxes is 703-872-9306.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mr. Terry K. Cecil Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

TKC October 16, 2004